

Better Delivery, Better Therapy: Powerful Drug Delivery Solutions



Science Day

June 15, 2021

Nasdaq: TFFP

Safe Harbor Statement

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This document contains forward-looking statements concerning TFF Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ("TFF", the "Company," "we," "us," and "our"). The words "believe," "may," "will," "potentially," "estimate," "continue," "anticipate," "intend," "could," "would," "project," "plan," "expect" and similar expressions that convey uncertainty of future events or outcomes are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements concerning the following:

- our future financial and operating results;
- our intentions, expectations and beliefs regarding anticipated growth, market penetration and trends in our business;
- the timing and success of our plan of commercialization;
- our ability to successfully develop and clinically test our product candidates; and
- our ability to file for FDA approval of our product candidates through the 505(b)(2) regulatory pathway.

These forward-looking statements are subject to a number of risks, uncertainties and assumptions that could cause actual results to differ materially. Among those factors are: (i) no drug product incorporating the TFF platform has received FDA pre-market approval or otherwise been incorporated into a commercial drug product, (ii) the Company has no current agreements or understandings with any large pharmaceutical companies for the development of a drug product incorporating the TFF Platform and (iii) those other risks disclosed in the section "Risk Factors" included in the Company's 2020 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed March 10, 2021 with the SEC. TFF Pharmaceuticals cautions readers not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. TFF Pharmaceuticals does not undertake, and specifically disclaims, any obligation to update or revise such statements to reflect new circumstances or unanticipated events as they occur, except as required by law.

This document contains only basic information concerning TFF. Because it is a summary it does not contain all of the information you should consider before investing. Please refer to our reports and registration statements on file with the SEC for more comprehensive information concerning TFF Pharmaceuticals.



Agenda

Glenn Mattes TFF Pharmaceuticals President & CEO	 Overview of TFF Pharma and pipeline Speaker introduction 		
Deborah Jo Levine, M.D. UT Health San Antonio	 Lung transplantation: current procedure and treatment with tacrolimus and other therapies Current shortcomings of therapies and current unmet medical need Therapeutic potential of inhaled tacrolimus in lung transplantation 		
Kartik Chandran, Ph.D. Albert Einstein College of Medicine	 Using rVSV to treat COVID-19 Application of TFF platform technology to multiple rVSV potential candidates 		
Ted Ross, Ph.D. University of Georgia	 Universal influenza vaccine Application of TFF platform technology to a universal influenza vaccine 		
Question & Answer Session	 Following KOL presentations Moderated by Corey Davis, Ph.D., Managing Director, LifeSci Advisors, LLC 		

Two-pronged Strategy For Leveraging TFF Formulations and Maximizing Value



TFF Business Model

In-house Development

Identify opportunities that address significant unmet medical need, and/or lower risk clinical pathway via 505(b)(2):

- TFF Voriconazole (VIC) for IPA/ABPA
- TFF Tacrolimus (TAC) for lung transplant and severe asthma
- TFF Niclosamide for COVID-19 in early formulation development

Pharma Licensing Platform

Pursue R&D collaborations to provide direct-to-lung, intranasal, topical and intraocular delivery for oncology, COPD, PAH, CF, biologics, and combinations in multiple therapeutic indications

- Academic vaccine partnerships University of Georgia, Albert Einstein College of Medicine
- National priority biodefence vaccines and countermeasures USAMRIID, DARPA, and LEIDOS
- Monoclonal antibodies for COVID-19 Augmenta Bioworks
- Bacteriophage-based biotherapeutic Felix Biotechnology
- Cannabis market opportunity PLUS Products

2021 Clinical Catalysts: Including NICLO and AUGMENTA

✓ Q1	TAC: 6-month tox completed, Phase 1 SAD dosing complete NICLO: Pre-IND submission, oral tox study start
✓ Q2	VORI: 13-week tox complete, reactive airway study topline data TAC: Phase 1 dosing complete/topline data NICLO: Inhaled tox study start, oral tox complete
Q3	AUGMENTA: Efficacy study VORI: 26-week GLP tox starts, EOP1 meeting AUGMENTA: Tox starts NICLO: Phase 1 (O and I) first dosing
Q4	VORI: Phase 2 first dosing TAC: Phase 1b/2a first dosing NICLO: Phase 1 (O and I) dosing complete

Deborah Jo Levine, M.D. – UT Health San Antonio



Deborah Jo Levine, M.D. is Professor and Director of Inpatient and Outpatient Lung Transplantation, and Director of Pulmonary Hypertension Center with the UT Health San Antonio Long School of Medicine, where she regularly follows over 200 patients. Dr. Levine's research interests include antibody-mediated rejection in lung transplantation, critical care medicine, end-stage lung disease, interventional pulmonology, lung transplantation, organ donor management in the ICU, pulmonary disease, and pulmonary hypertension. She is Board Certified in critical care and internal medicine, and completed a fellowship in interventional pulmonology. Dr. Levine received her B.S. in Physiology from the University of California, and an M.S. in Pharmacology and Toxicology and M.D. degree from the University of Arizona College of Medicine.



Kartik Chandran, Ph.D. is the Principal Investigator at The Chandran Lab, Professor of Medicine and Immunology, and The Harold and Muriel Block Faculty Scholar in Virology at the Albert Einstein School of Medicine. Dr. Chandran's research interests include merging viruses, Ebola and Marburg viruses, bunyaviruses, hantaviruses, viral entry into cells, structure and function of viral fusion glycoproteins, virus-host interactions, viral genetics, and the development of novel antiviral therapeutics. More specifically, his work at The Chandran Lab focuses on understanding the complex relationship between virus and cell and developing novel antiviral treatments directed against filoviruses, such as Ebola virus and Marburg virus, and hantaviruses, such as Sin Nombre virus and Hantaan virus. Dr. Chandran and his colleagues frequently partner with academia, industry, and government also focus on translational research to accelerate the development of novel small molecule and antibody-based therapeutics that leverage the basic knowledge on viral invasion. Most recently, the Chandran Lab is developing research tools to discover and optimize therapeutics against COVID-19 and is also directly involved in the development of convalescent plasma and human monoclonal antibody-based therapeutics to prevent and treat COVID-19

Ted M. Ross, Ph.D. – University of Georgia



Ted M. Ross, Ph.D. is the Director of the Center for Vaccines and Immunology and Georgia Research Alliance Eminent Scholar and Professor of Infectious Diseases at the University of Georgia. Dr. Ross earned his undergraduate and graduate studies in Zoology and Microbiology at the University of Arkansas and he received a Doctorate in Microbiology and Immunology from Vanderbilt University in 1996. He was awarded the inaugural Sidney P. Colowick Award in Outstanding Graduate Research while at Vanderbilt. Dr. Ross performed post-doctoral fellowships at Duke University on HIV biology of viral entry and at Emory University on vaccine development for HIV and influenza viruses. He then started his own laboratory as Principal Investigator at East Carolina University and in 2003 moved the laboratory to the University of Pittsburgh in the Departments of Medicine-Infectious Diseases, Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, and as a founding member of the Center for Vaccine Research where he served the University for 10 years. In 2015, he joined the faculty at the University of Georgia. Dr. Ross explores new vaccine technologies intended to protect against all strains of influenza – an endeavor that could potentially eliminate the need for seasonal flu shots. Dr. Ross and his colleagues are applying similar strategies to fight other serious viruses such as, Dengue, Zika, Ebola, Chikungunya, and HIV Type-1 viruses.

Dr. Ross has published more than 130 papers and book chapters on infectious disease and vaccine development. He has been an invited speaker at more than 130 national and international conferences and participates in several vaccine working groups, including at the U.S. NIH, U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the World Health Organization. He is an editorial 100 board member of Vaccine. He previously served as Editor-in-Chief of the journal Current HIV Research. In addition, he has been an ad-hoc reviewer on NIH study sections and a reviewer for 24 different journals.

Dr. Ross served as the Treasurer and Secretary of International Society for Vaccines from 2012-2017 and now is the current President-Elect (elected 2018) and has served as the Co-Chair of the 8th and 9th Vaccine and ISV Congress in Philadelphia (8th) and Seoul (9th).



Lung Transplantation

Deborah Jo Levine MD FCCP University of Texas Health San Antonio

Reasons for Lung Transplant

Lung Transplantation (LT) continues to be the best therapy for some patients with end-stage lung disease for which other medical or surgical therapy is not available.



Underlying Diseases

- Restrictive Lung Disease
 - Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis (IPF)
 - Interstitial Lung Disease (ILD)
- Obstructive Lung Disease
 - Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD)
- Cystic Fibrosis and other suppurative lung disease
- Pulmonary Vascular Disease
 - Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension (PAH)

Annual Lung Transplants Performed





NOTE: This figure includes only the lung transplants that are reported to the ISHLT Transplant Registry. As such, this should not be construed as representing changes in the number of lung transplants performed worldwide. Distribution of candidates aged 12 years or older actively waiting for lung transplant by diagnosis group



Distribution of candidates aged 12 years or older actively waiting for lung transplantation



Adult Lung Transplants Kaplan-Meier Survival by Era (Transplants: January 1992 – June 2017)



Adult Lung Transplants Relative Incidence of Leading Causes of Death (Deaths: January 1995 – June 2018)



Post-Transplant Monitoring

GRAFT MONITORING

Pulmonary Function Tests

Surveillance and Indication Bronchoscopy

Chest Radiography

RECIPIENT MONITORING

Clinical Status:

Physical Exam

CBC, **Chemistry**, LFTs, **Immunosuppression levels**, CMV PCR,

Medication-related side effects and potential issues

Categories of Medications Used in Transplant Patients









Lung Allograft Rejection

Acute Cellular Rejection (ACR)

Acute Antibody Mediated Rejection (AMR)

Chronic Lung Allograft Dysfunction (CLAD) Bronchiolitis obliterans Syndrome (BOS) Restrictive Allograft Syndrome (RAS)



Preventing Rejection: Maintenance Immunosuppression

- Calcineurin inhibitors
 - Tacrolimus
 - Cyclosporine
- Corticosteroids
 - Methylprednisolone
 - Prednisone
 - Prednisolone

- DNA synthesis inhibitors
 - Mycophenolate mofetil
 - Azathioprine
- mTOR inhibitors
 - Sirolimus (Rapamune[®])
- Others: Belatacept, etc

Immunosuppression regimen use in transplant recipients

Tacrolimus used in >90% of Lung Transplant Patients



Year

Tacrolimus adverse effects

- Lymphoma and Other Malignancies
- Serious Infections
- New Onset Diabetes After Transplant
- <u>Nephrotoxicity</u>
- Neurotoxicity
- Hyperkalemia
- Hypertension
- Anaphylactic Reactions with PROGRAF Injection
- Myocardial Hypertrophy
- Pure Red Cell Aplasia

Adult Lung transplants

Cumulative Morbidity Rates in <u>Survivors</u> within 1 and 5 Years

(Transplants: January 1994 – June 2016)

Outcome	Within <u>1 Year</u>	Total number with <u>known</u> <u>response</u>	Within <u>5 Years</u>	Total number with <u>known</u> <u>response</u>
Severe Renal Dysfunction*	8.4%	(N = 787)	20.9%	(N = 277)
Creatinine > 2.5 mg/dl (221 μmol/L)	5.39	%	13.09	%
Chronic Dialysis	2.89	%	5.89	%
Renal Transplant	0.39	%	2.29	%
Diabetes	17.6%	(N = 790)	31.6%	(N = 272)
Bronchiolitis Obliterans Syndrome	14.7%	(N = 748)	49.1%	(N = 228)



* Severe renal dysfunction = Creatinine > 2.5 mg/dl (221 μmol/L), dialysis or renal transplant

Risk factors for Acute Kidney Injury

Pre-transplant

- Higher lung allocation score
- Pulmonary Hypertension
- LT for diagnoses other than COPD
- Re-transplant
- Older patients
- Higher baseline creatinine, proteinuria, AKI episodes
- Connective tissue disease
- Cystic fibrosis
- Pre-transplant ECMO or mechanical ventilation

Post- transplant

- Hypotension/hemodynamic insufficiency
- Prolonged duration of cardiopulmonary bypass
- Duration of mechanical ventilation/ECMO
- Medications: Calcineurin Inhibitors
 (<u>Tacrolimus, cyclosporine</u>), antibiotics, antifungals, antivirals, radiographic contrast, diuretics
- DM
- Sepsis

Drug-drug interactions (DDI) with Tacrolimus

- <u>CYP3A4 enzyme inhibitors:</u>
- Increase calcineurin inhibitor levels (increased toxicity)
 - Macrolides, Azole Antifungals, Calcium channel blockers, Grapefruit juice
- <u>CYP3A4 enzyme inducers:</u>
- Decrease calcineurin inhibitor levels (decreased efficacy)
 - Rifampin, Carbamazepine, phenobarbital, phenytoin
 - St. John's wort
- Statins: Increased statin levels with CY3A4

TFF's Tacrolimus Product Development



Potential Advantages of TFF Tacrolimus Powder

- May improve compliance with reduced GI adverse events.
- May provide higher PK trough concentrations at lower dose.
- May indicate that once a day dosing is a feasible dosing strategy with lower drug burden.
- May be 3-fold higher in absorption by the inhaled route compared to oral administration.
- Drug levels in Lung Tissue greater than blood for improved tissue immunosuppression with less systemic exposure (toxicology study results support this concept) ----->





Tacrolimus Inhalation Powder

Phase I HNV Study Design

Part A: SAD

- Normal volunteers
- Single inhaled dose
- 6+2 volunteers per cohort
- 5 escalating dose levels (0.5, 1.0, 2.5, 5.0, and 10.0 mg)
- Endpoints:
 - Blood exposure
 - Tolerability
 - Effects of a high fat meal on blood exposure
 - Effect on IL-2 and IL-6 biomarkers

Part B: MAD

- Normal volunteers
- Inhaled dosing 2x/day 7 Days
- 8 volunteers per cohort
- 3 dose levels (BID: 1.0, 0.5mg QD: TBD)
- Endpoints:
 - Blood exposure (Day 1 & 7)
 - Tolerability
 - Effect on IL-2 and IL-6 biomarkers



Tacrolimus Phase 1 – Therapeutic Drug Levels

- Blood levels measured 12 hr after dose administration
- Target dose levels for lung transplant patients



Tacrolimus Development: Phase 1 Repeat Dose PK Profile



Predicted Steady State Mean

10

20

← 1 mg BID mean SEM

Tacrolimus Plasma Exposure after Inhalation of a 1 mg dose BID Day 1 and Day 7 (TFF-T1-001)

^{er} Tacrolimus Plasma Exposure C_{min} through Day 7 (TFF-T1-001)

2

Cmin beginning of Day (MAD Cohort 1)

Day

Whole blood C12h median conc. – 9.5 ng/mL



Tacrolimus Inhalation Powder Potential

- Less susceptible to drug-drug interactions
- May provide higher trough concentrations at lower total dose
- Provide more drug in the lung with lower blood concentration to enhance efficacy while reducing adverse effects on kidney, pancreas and other organ systems.





Recombinant vesicular stomatitis virus-based systems to dissect and disable emerging viruses Kartik Chandran

Department of Microbiology & Immunology Albert Einstein College of Medicine





The Chandran Lab's approach

Host gene discovery Med





virus virus

Filoviruses | Ebola & Marburg viruses Hantaviruses | Sin Nombre virus, Andes virus, Puumula virus, Dobrava virus, Hantaan virus, Seoul

Nairoviruses | Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever

- Coronaviruses SARS-CoV-2 and other 'preemergent' betacoronaviruses
- **Pneumoviruses** Human respiratory syncytial virus
- Alphaviruses | Eastern, Western, and Venezuelan encephalitis viruses
- Flaviviruses | Yellow fever virus. Powassan virus, West Nile virus
- **Poxviruses** | Vaccinia virus



How can we investigate high biocontainment (BSL-3 and BSL-4) viral pathogens safely and efficiently ?



We exploit the modular nature of the viral entry apparatus ...



We exploit the modular nature of the viral entry apparatus ...



Delivery system



Delivery system







Vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV)







rVSVs afforded genetic screens to identify the filovirus receptor, NPC1...





BALB/c mice (n=19-28)100 pfu i.p.

Carette et al., Nature 2011





P<0.0001

ANDV 2000 pfu i.n. Syrian hamsters: — PCDH1–WT (n=23) - PCDH1-KO (n=21)



Jangra et al., Nature 2018





rVSVs have allowed us to rapidly identify and down-select therapeutic mAbs

Graphical Abstract

Wec, Cell 2017



Graphical Abstract

Pan-ebolavirus mAbs

Graphical abstract

Wec, Cell Host & Microbe 2019

Cell 2021 ⊢els,

CCHFV mAbs

rVSVs also provide excellent vaccine candidates



PVSV ZEBOV-GP Titer: ≥ 1x10⁸ pfut Lot No.: 003 051 Val contains 1.0 mi MFG Date: 30.05.20 Caution: New Drug Federal Law to Inve

Developing a SARS-CoV-2 specific rVSV



Vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV)

SARS-CoV-2

VSV-SARS-CoV-2

rVSV-SARS2 recapitulates key SARS2 properties



Neutralization by real virus (BSL3)

rVSV-SARS2 protects hamsters from SARS2 challenge via multiple routes



PBS
▲ IN
■ IP
♦ IM

- Collaboration with TFFP, UT-Austin, and USAMRIID
- TFF formulation could potentially overcome logistical issues related to cold-chain and vaccine expiration, especially in low- and medium-income countries
- Direct inhalation delivery of vaccine could afford more rapid delivery to sites of action and greater efficacy
- This work is likely 'platformable.' Benefits could extend well beyond SARS2 to thermostable vaccine candidates against many other enveloped viruses
- To date, we have generated more than 60 rVSVs bearing spikes from many virus families
- Challenge: will fragile membrane-enveloped VSV particles survive freezedrying and retain infectivity, biological activity?

Developing rVSV-SARS2 as a thin-film freeze-dried formulation





Biodefense solutions to protect our nation



Log infectious units per sample





Evaluating a panel of formulations for rVSV-SARS2 survivability

Many conditions are compatible with high levels of rVSV-SARS2 infectivity



Upcoming:

- Evaluate an initial set of formulations for protective efficacy against SARS2 in the hamster challenge model (USAMRIID)
- Continue to iteratively optimize candidate formulations (Einstein and TFF/UT-Austin)



- Kartik Chandran Einstein Rohit Jangra Denise Haslwanter Maria Eugenia Dieterle
- Chris Cano | TFF Pharma

Zhengrong Cui | UT-Austin

John Dye | USAMRIID



TFF Pharma Science Day

Ted M. Ross Center for Vaccines and Immunology Department of Infectious Diseases University of Georgia Athens, Georgia, USA

> Next Generation Universal Influenza Virus Vaccines

> > June 15, 2021

UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA



The Influenza Virus

- Viral family Orthomyxoviridae
 - Enveloped viruses
 - 8 segmented negative-sense genome
 - Predominant glycoproteins HA and NA
- Primarily infect humans, birds, & pigs
 - Type B and C only infect humans
- Symptoms include fever, cough, sore throat, runny nose, muscle aches, headaches, & fatigue
 - Vomiting and diarrhea in severe cases
- CDC estimates that virus caused 13-15 million symptomatic illnesses from 10/1/2018 – 2/2/2019
 - Current VE of 22%













ENTER FOR VACCINES AND IMMUNOLOGY Transmission of Respiratory Viruses

Transmission pathways^{1,2}







References:

1. CDC. Influenza: In: Atkinson W, et al, eds. *Epidemiology and Prevention of Vaccinepreventable Diseases*. 12th edition. Washington, DC: Public Health Foundation; 2011:151-171.

2. American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP). Influenza: In: *Red Book: 2012 Report of the Committee on Infectious Diseases.* 29th edition. Elk Grove Village, IL: AAP; 2012:439-453.



Collaborative Influenza Vaccine Innovation Centers (CIVIC)



Center for Influenza Vaccine Research for High Risk Populations (CIVR-HRP)

- 1. Provide comprehensive assessment of broadly-protective influenza vaccine candidates
- 2. Integrate this assessment with fundamental research on protective host responses
- 3. Provide insight into the mechanisms that drive vaccine effectiveness

The CIVR-HRP forms a collaborative network of primary investigators around a comprehensive research program that centers on identifying broadly protective influenza vaccines for all populations, with a focus on our most vulnerable populations.

Vaccine Centers CIVIC A



Principal Investigators: Ted M. Ross, Ph.D. (at the University of Georgia),

and Stacey Schultz-Cherry, Ph.D. (at St. Jude Children's Research Hospital)



Principal Investigators: Florian Krammer, Ph.D. (at the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai), and Rafi Ahmed, Ph.D. (at Emory University)



Principal Investigator: Michael Moody, M.D. Vaccine Manufacturing and Toxicology Core CIVIC B

Clinical Core CIVIC C



Principal Investigator: Kathleen Neuzil, M.D., M.P.H.

Duke University School of Medicine

Principal Investigator: Emmanuel Walter, M.D., M.P.H.

CIVICs Statistical, Data Management, and Coordination Center

Digital Infuzion, Inc., Gaithersburg, Maryland Principal Investigator: Stephan Bour, Ph.D.

7 Year Timeline (2019-2026)

T)

Ph.D.

Ĩ

Principal Investigator: Matthew Johnson,



Universal influenza vaccines can be rationally engineered to elicit broadly cross-neutralizing epitopes

Universal antigens can be used in pre-immune hosts to re-focus the immune response, preferentially recalling broadly neutralizing antibodies that provides **breadth** against multiple strains within a subtype

Universal antigens can also be delivered with appropriate technologies to stimulate durable and long-lasting immunity



COBRA Approach for Developing Broadly Reactive Vaccines

- Computationally Optimized Broadly Reactive Antigen (COBRA)
 - Layered consensus building approach
 - Utilizes sequence data from flu surveillance databases
 - GISAID, FLUDB
 - Allow viral evolution to dictate antigen design
- Capable of eliciting potent, broadly reactive HA-specific antibody responses
 - Effective against seasonal and pandemic strains
 - H5, H3, and H1 subtypes
 - Mice, ferrets, non-human primates
 - Carter, Ross, et al., 2016, J. Virol.
 - Giles, Ross, et al., 2012, J. Infect. Dis.
 - Giles & Ross, 2011, Vaccine
 - Allen, Ross, et al 2018. J. Virol.
 - Sautto, Ross, et al. 2019. J. Immunol.
 - Sautto GA, et al. 2018. ImmunoHorizons.
 - Huang et al. 2020. J. Virol.





H5 Human COBRA 2 VLPs



Center for Influenza Vaccine Research for High Risk Populations

Computationally Optimized Broadly Reactive Antigens (COBRA)





Wong <i>et al.,</i> 2017. JVI	H3N2
Carter <i>et al.,</i> 2016. JVI	H1N1
Giles et al., 2011. Vaccine	H5N1
Reneer <i>et al.</i> 2020. JVI	H2N2



Center for Influenza Vaccine Research for High Risk Populations

Versatility of COBRA-based vaccine platform



Virus-like particles (VLP) Carter *et al.*, 2016. *J. Virol.*



Split vaccine Allen *et al.,* 2018. *PLoS One*



Influenza live viruses Sautto *et al.,* 2018. *ImmunoHorizons*



HA recombinant proteins Huang Y. *et al.*, 2019. *J. Virol.*



HA-ferritin nanoparticles

Darricarrère et al., 2018. J. Virol.



mRNA LNP Ross et al. 2021. In progress



Center for Influenza Vaccine Research for High Risk Populations



Huang et al. 2021. in prep.



Next Generation H3 COBRA HA Antigens

James Allen (UGA)

D0	D21	D35	D42	D58
Vaccinate	Vaccinate	Bleed	Vaccinate	Bleed
TJ-5 (H3)	TJ-5 (H3)		TJ-5 (H3)	
J4 (H3)	J4 (H3)		J4 (H3)	
NG-2 (H3)	NG-2 (H3)		NG-2 (H3)	
Sing/16	Sing/16		Sing/16	
Kansas/17	Kansas/17		Kansas/17	
Switz/17	Switz/17		Switz/17	
South Australia/19	South Australia/19		South Australia/19	



Balb/c mice (N=5) vaccinated 3x with 3ug rHA mixed 1:1 with Addavax (oil-in-water emulsion) adjuvant

Allen et al., 2021. revision.

Vaccine	Design Timeframe
TJ-5	2008-2012
J4	2013-2015
NG-2	2016-2018

H3 COBRA in Naive Mice



Allen et al., 2021. revision.

TFF HA Vaccine Study

Naoko Uno (UGA)

- **Objective:** Test immunogenicity and efficacy of universal HA vaccines following thin film freezing (TFF) process.
- Rationale: TFF process allows protein vaccines to be more stable and long-lasting than in liquid solution.
- Hypothesis: TFF HA vaccination will elicit similar breadth of neutralizing antibodies against Influenza virus compared to HA in solution vaccination

Timeline for vaccinations and bleeds

- HAI on day 56 serum
- Quantify viral titer in lungs
- Influenza-specific B cell response

Group	Ν	Vaccine (3ug)	Adjuvant	TFF method?
1	10	Y2	Addavax	YES
2	10	J4	Addavax	YES
3	10	Y2+J4	Addavax	YES
4	10	Y2+J4+TJ5	Addavax	YES
5	10	Adjuvant Only	Addavax in solution	YES
6	10	Y2+J4+TJ5	Addavax in solution	YES/No
7	10	Y2 in solution	Addavax in solution	No
8	10	Mock	No	No

- Conclusions:
 - TFF process did not decrease effectiveness of HA vaccines in HAIs

Uno et al. 2021. in prep.

